

Martin-Gatton College of Agriculture, Food and Environment Cooperative Extension Service

Plant Pathology Research Report

PPRR-07

Survey of Apple and Peach Pest Management Practices in Kentucky (2022)

Nicole Gauthier, Plant Pathology Extension Specialist Kim Leonberger, Plant Pathology Extension Associate Ric Bessin, Entomology Extension Specialist Shawn Wright, Horticulture Extension Specialist

Tree fruit are important commercial crops in Kentucky. Pests and pathogens can result in tree damage and fruit loss. Grower surveys were conducted in 2022 to determine the importance of tree fruit pests in Kentucky. Growers provided orchard demographics, as well as overall importance, yield losses for each, and approach to management.

The objective of these surveys was to help determine arthropod/insect, disease, and weed priorities for peach and apple. Surveys were used to summarize grower practices, while providing an overall understanding of management practices. Ultimately, this will help Extension specialists and researchers prioritize programs and resources by focusing on management practices that should be utilized more often and those that appear to be misunderstood.

APPLE PRIORITIES

This survey represented apple orchards between 0.1 and 40 acres of production.

Disease Priorities

Analysis of survey responses determined that the five most important diseases were fire blight, bitter rot, sooty blotch and flyspeck, black rot, and white rot. All disease responses are included in TABLE 1.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted diseases through visual scouting and monitoring of weather conditions (predictive models). Growers utilized various methods for disease management. The primary management strategy for each disease is detailed in TABLE 1.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: disease publications (24% of respondents), field days (24%), educational videos (20%), fungicide education (20%), and virtual trainings (12%).

Growers reported spending \$40 to \$600 per acre per year on fungicides.

General pathology notes

- Disease cannot be identified by symptoms, alone. Submit samples to a diagnostic lab or work with an Extension agent/specialist. Visual monitoring of diseases often results in misidentification or identification of infection too late for effective management.
- Disease history should drive management decisions in subsequent seasons.
- Moisture and temperature are major drivers of plant disease. Risk of disease increases during rainy or humid (>70% RH) conditions and during moderate (65° to 75°F) temperatures.

Pest Priorities

Analysis of survey responses determined that the five most important arthropod pests of concern for growers were codling moth, plum curculio, woolly apple aphid, borer, and oriental fruit moth. All pest responses are included in TABLE 2.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted arthropod pests using pheromone traps and visual scouting. Growers utilized various methods for insect management. The primary management strategy for each insect is detailed in TABLE 2.

TABLE 1. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT DISEASES OF APPLE, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS, MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES COMMONLY IMPLEMENTED, AND SHORTFALLS IN MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES.

	Disease of			
		A		
	importance, as	Average annual	Cuavan astisms	Consistint vacament dations 9
5 1	reported by	% loss reported	Grower actions,	Specialist recommendations &
Ranking ¹	growers	by growers	reported in survey	
1	Fire blight	4%	Fungicides, pruning	Bacterial diseases should be managed with
			to remove diseased	antibiotics or antimicrobials; fungicides are not
			and dead tissue,	effective against bacteria. Scouting is not
			scouting	effective; utilize alerts and forecasting systems
				for best use of preventative applications of
				bactericides/antimicrobials; include growth
				regulator for added protection. Prune during
				dormancy.
2	Bitter rot	5%	Fungicides, pruning	Fungicide timing should begin at petal fall;
			to remove diseased	improve coverage using low pressure and
			and dead tissue	avoiding runoff.
3	Sooty blotch &	3%	Fungicides	Forecasting system is available for improved
	flyspeck			application timing.
4	Black rot	5%	Pruning to remove	Pruning and removal of infected wood from the
			diseased and dead	orchard is critical; apply fungicides if orchard
			tissue	has a history of disease.
4	White rot	5%	Sanitation, pruning	Pruning and removal of infected wood from the
			to remove diseased	orchard is critical; apply fungicides if orchard
			and dead tissue,	has a history of disease.
			scouting, fungicides	
5	Apple scab	-	Scouting, fungicides	Fungicides should begin at green tip, especially
				for susceptible cultivars. Sanitation is critical.
6	Brown rot	_		Disease may have been misidentified; there is
	Browning			no brown rot disease on apple.
6 F	Root & collar rot	1%		Specialized fungicide may be suppressive if
	noot a conar rot	170		disease pressure is low to moderate.
7	Leaf curl	_		Disease may have been misidentified; there is
				no leaf curl disease on apple.
7	Rot	-	Scouting, fungicides	There are several "summer rot" diseases of
				apple fruit; proper diagnosis is needed for
				proper management strategy.
8 F	rogeye leaf spot	-		Caused by the same fungus that causes black rot
				on fruit.
8	Rust	3%	Pruning to remove	Resistant cultivars and/or properly timed
			diseased and dead	fungicides (beginning at tight cluster).
			tissue	

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

TABLE 2. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ARTHROPOD PESTS OF APPLE, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS. FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE INFLUENCED GROWERS' INTERPRETATION OF "IMPORTANCE" INCLUDED: DIFFICULTY TO MANAGE, REQUIRED INPUTS, AND POTENTIAL FOR YIELD LOSS.

	Insect of			
	importance, as	Average annual		
1	reported by	% loss reported	Grower actions,	Specialist recommendations &
Rating ¹	growers	by growers	reported in survey	
1	Codling moth	5%	Insecticide sprays	While still common, it is frequently confused
			according to	with Oriental fruit moth, which is increasing in
			pheromone trap	importance. Controlled with the same
			catches and growing	·
			degree day models,	differ) used for oriental fruit moth
			removal of dead	management. May also be managed using
			limbs and trees	mating disruption.
2	Plum curculio	1%	Insecticides	Typically controlled with insecticides at first
				cover. Recent late season damage in
				neighboring states to the north indicate range
				expansion of the Southern multi-generation
				strain. This was confirmed in the lab at UK in
				2023.
3	Woolly apple	4%		Becoming more common due to changes in
	aphid			insecticide used for other pests; monitor
				regularly. Semi-resistant rootstocks are
				available.
4	Borer	-	Insecticides	Stressed plants attract flatheaded appletree
				borer. Maintain plant health through proper
				nutrient and irrigation management and
				pruning. Remove weak and dead wood; remove
				cuttings from the orchard.
5	Oriental fruit	10%		Oriental fruit moths are becoming more
	moth			common across the state. Monitor emergence
				using pheromone traps and/or growing degree
				day models (prediction model). Mating
				disruption pheromones for both codling
				moth/oriental fruit moth can be used alone or
				in combination with insecticides.
6	Brown	5%	Insecticides	Scout border rows regularly beginning mid-
	marmorated			season, use insecticides as needed. Sanitation is
	stinkbug			not an effective management option for stink
				bug.
7	Japanese beetle	1%		Sporadic. Use insecticides as needed. Avoid use
				of traps, which attract beetles.
7	San Jose scale	1%		Dormant oil is effective when used from half-
				inch green to bud development to target
				nymphs or in late spring when crawlers are
				active.

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: insect publications (26% of respondents), educational videos (21%), field days (21%), insecticide education (21%), and virtual trainings (11%).

Growers reported spending between \$20 and \$500 per acre per season on insecticides.

General insect management notes

■ Insect management in apples relies on pest monitoring (scouting and trapping), prediction (degree day modeling of key pests), sanitation, and rotation of insecticides to manage insecticide resistance. Mating disruption has been slowly increasing for both oriental fruit moth and codling moth management.

Weedy Plant Species Priorities

Analysis of survey responses determined that the top five weeds of concern for growers were johnsongrass, honeyvine milkweed, morning glories, yellow nutsedge, and dandelion. All weed responses are included in TABLE 3.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted weeds through visual scouting. Growers

utilized various methods for weed management. The primary management strategy for each weed species is detailed in TABLE 3.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: weed publications (29% of respondents), educational videos (23%), field days (18%), herbicide education (18%), and virtual trainings (12%).

Growers reported spending between \$10 and \$155 per acre per season on herbicides.

General weed management notes

- Proper identification of the weed is important. If identification is uncertain, growers should work with an Extension agent/specialist.
- Preventing seed-set of weeds in the orchard, or dispersal from fence rows, will reduce future management problems but not eliminate them. Weed seed already in the soil can survive for many years depending on the species.
- Management of perennial weeds may take several years before changes are observable in the orchard.

TABLE 3. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT WEEDS OF APPLE ORCHARDS, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS. FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE INFLUENCED GROWERS' INTERPRETATION OF "IMPORTANCE" INCLUDED: DIFFICULTY TO MANAGE, REQUIRED INPUTS, AND POTENTIAL FOR YIELD LOSS.

Rating ¹	Weed of importance, as reported by growers	Average annual % loss reported by growers	Grower actions, reported in survey	Specialist recommendations & comments
	-	1%		
1	Johnsongrass	1%	Herbicides, mowing	Pre-emergent herbicides prevent seedling establishment. Post-emergent herbicides are only effective on young plants. Repeated mowing, followed by spot spraying in fall, gives control. Avoid cultivation, which spreads rhizomes.
2	Honeyvine milkweed	-	Herbicides	Pre-emergent herbicides are more effective than post-emergent herbicides. Manual removal of deep taproots.
3	Morning glories	1%		Pre-emergent herbicides reduce germination of seeds of annual species.
3	Yellow nutsedge	-	Herbicides	Nutsedge is shade intolerant. Populations may increase in a spindle-type training system as more light reaches the orchard floor.
4	Dandelion	1%		Spot spraying of amine forms of 2,4D can be applied. Mowing during bloom is important so that pollinators stay in the crop.

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

PEACH PRIORITIES

This survey represented peach orchards between 0.5 and 36 acres of production.

Disease Priorities

Analysis of survey responses determined that the top five diseases of concern for growers were brown rot, peach leaf curl, peach scab, bacterial spot of fruit, and bacterial canker. All disease responses are included in TABLE 4.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted diseases through visual scouting and monitoring of weather conditions (predictive models). Growers utilized various methods for disease management. The primary management strategy for each disease is detailed in TABLE 4.

TABLE 4. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT DISEASES OF PEACH, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS. FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE INFLUENCED GROWERS' INTERPRETATION OF "IMPORTANCE" INCLUDED: DIFFICULTY TO MANAGE, REQUIRED INPUTS, AND POTENTIAL FOR YIELD LOSS.

Rating ¹	Disease of importance, as reported by growers	Average annual % loss reported by growers	Grower actions, reported in survey	Specialist recommendations & comments
1	Brown rot	7%	Sanitation, remove diseased fruit and mummies, fungicides	Avoid fruit injury. Practice sanitation. Use fungicides beginning at bloom.
2	Peach leaf curl	4%	Sanitation, fungicides	Sanitation is not the ideal management option for peach leaf curl. Fungicide applications should be made before bud break or in fall right before dormancy. Resistant cultivars are available.
3	Peach scab	5%	Fungicides	Fungicide applications should occur beginning at petal fall. Sanitation (removal of infected fruit and twigs) can be effective in small orchards.
4	Bacterial spot of fruit	2%	Sanitation, fungicides	Bacterial diseases should be managed with antibiotics or antimicrobials; fungicides are not effective against bacteria. Once disease develops, it is too late for controls. Preventative sprays should be applied if bacterial spot was problematic the previous year. Sanitation is critical.
5	Bacterial canker	2%	Sanitation, fungicides	Bacterial diseases should be managed with antibiotics or antimicrobials; fungicides are not effective against bacteria. Stem/trunk infections are induced by wounds, including winter injury. Select cold tolerant cultivars. Avoid dropping pruning debris on the ground. Perennial canker, a fungal disease, looks similar to bacterial canker; lab confirmation is required.
6	Bacterial leaf spot	-	Fungicides	Bacterial diseases should be managed with antibiotics or antimicrobials; fungicides are not effective against bacteria. Caused by the same bacterium that causes bacterial spot on fruit.

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: disease publications (24% of respondents), educational videos (20%), field days (20%), fungicide education (20%), and virtual trainings (16%).

Growers reported spending between \$20 and \$600 per acre on fungicides.

General disease management notes

- Disease cannot be identified by symptoms, alone. Submit samples to a diagnostic lab or work with an Extension agent/specialist. Visual monitoring of diseases often results in misidentification or identification of infection too late for effective management.
- Disease history should drive management decisions in subsequent seasons.
- Moisture and temperature are major drivers of plant disease. Risk of disease increases during rainy or humid (>70% RH) conditions and during moderate (65° to 75°F) temperatures.

Pest Priorities

Analysis of survey responses determined that the top five insects of concern for growers were brown marmorated stink bug, Japanese beetle, lesser peachtree borer, peachtree borer, and green June beetle. All insect responses are included in TABLE 5.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted insects using pheromone traps and visual scouting. Growers utilized various methods for insect management. The primary management strategy for each pest is detailed in TABLE 5.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: insect publications (26% of respondents), educational videos (22%), field days (22%), insecticide education (22%), and virtual trainings (8%).

Growers reported spending between \$18 and \$400 per acre on insecticides each season.

General insect management notes

■ Insect management in peaches relies on pest monitoring (scouting and trapping), prediction (degree day modeling of key pests), sanitation, and rotation of insecticides to manage insecticide resistance. Mating disruption has been slowly increasing for oriental fruit moth and codling moth management in apples but has not been implemented in peaches in Kentucky.

Weedy Plant Species Priorities

Analysis of survey responses found that the top five weeds of concern for growers were johnsongrass marestail, honeyvine milkweed, horsenettle, and nutsedge. All weed responses are included in TABLE 6.

Survey results indicated that growers monitored or predicted weeds through visual scouting. Growers utilized various methods for weed management. The primary management strategy for each weed species is detailed in TABLE 6.

Growers indicated that the following resources were most valuable for reducing losses: educational videos (23% of respondents), field days (23%), weed publications (23%), herbicide education (18%), and virtual trainings (13%).

Growers reported spending between \$10 and \$125 on herbicides per season.

General weed management notes

- Proper identification of the weed is important. If you are unsure, work with an Extension agent/specialist.
- Preventing seed-set of weeds in the orchard, or dispersal from fence rows, will reduce future management problems but not eliminate them. Weed seed already in the soil can survive for many years depending on the species.
- Management of perennial weeds may take several years before changes are observable in the orchard.



COMMERCIAL SALE OF PEACHES (PHOTO: JOHN STRANG, UK)

TABLE 5. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT ARTHROPOD PESTS OF PEACH, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS. FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE INFLUENCED GROWERS' INTERPRETATION OF "IMPORTANCE" INCLUDED: DIFFICULTY TO MANAGE, REQUIRED INPUTS, AND POTENTIAL FOR YIELD LOSS.

	Incort of			
	Insect of			
	importance, as	Average annual		
1	reported by	% loss reported	Grower actions,	Specialist recommendations &
Rating ¹	growers	by growers	reported in survey	
1	Brown	8%	Sanitation,	Scout border rows regularly beginning mid-
	marmorated		insecticides	season. Use insecticides as needed. Sanitation is
	stink bug			not an effective management option for stink
				bug.
2	Japanese beetle	2%	Insecticide	Sporadic, use insecticides as needed. Avoid use
			applications	of traps, which attract beetles.
			according to trap	
			catches	
2	Lesser peachtree	5%	Insecticides	Common pest of peach. Monitor populations
	borer			with pheromone traps; apply insecticides at
				peak flight. Examine trapped moths carefully to
				properly identify.
3	Peachtree borer	4%	Insecticide	Sporadic pest. Monitor populations with
			applications	pheromone traps; apply insecticides at peak
			according to trap	flight. Examine trapped moths carefully to
			catches	properly identify.
4	Green June	-		Sporadic but easy to monitor. Population levels
	beetle			will vary dramatically from year to year.
				Damage occurs near harvest; adhere to
4	Oni a ratal for th	F0/	lu a a ati ai al a	insecticide PHI.
4	Oriental fruit	5%	Insecticide	Monitor emergence using pheromone traps and
	moth		applications	use degree day models to time insecticide
			according to trap catches	applications. Mating disruption pheromones can be used alone or in combination with
			catches	insecticides.
5	Plum curculio	-		Typically controlled with insecticides at first
	i idili carcano			cover. However, recent late season damage in
				neighboring states to the north indicate range
				expansion of the Southern multi-generation
				strain. This was confirmed in the lab at UK in
				2023. Late July sprays may be needed.
6	San Jose scale	5%		Dormant oil is effective when used from half-
	Jan 100c Scare	370		inch green to bud development to target
				nymphs or in late spring when crawlers are
				active.
			<u> </u>	uctive.

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

TABLE 6. RANKING OF THE MOST IMPORTANT WEEDS OF PEACH ORCHARDS, AS REPORTED BY GROWERS. FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE INFLUENCED GROWERS' INTERPRETATION OF "IMPORTANCE" INCLUDED: DIFFICULTY TO MANAGE, REQUIRED INPUTS, AND POTENTIAL FOR YIELD LOSS.

Rating ¹	Weed of importance, as reported by growers	Average annual % loss reported by growers	Grower actions, reported in survey	Specialist recommendations & comments
1	Johnsongrass	1%	Mowing, herbicides	Pre-emergent herbicides prevent seedling
1	301113011 <u>B</u> 1433	170	iviowing, nerbiciaes	establishment. Post-emergent herbicides are
				only effective on young plants. Avoid
				cultivation, which spreads rhizomes.
2	Marestail	1%	Herbicides	Shallow cultivation destroys young plants. Pre-
	iviaicstaii	170	ricibiciaes	and post-emergent herbicides can be effective.
				Glyphosate-resistant marestail is becoming
				common. Late season management is required,
				as this species can germinate late into the
				calendar year.
3	Honeyvine		Herbicides	Pre-emergent herbicides are more effective
3	milkweed	-	nerbicides	than post-emergent herbicides. Manual removal
	miikweed			l · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
4	Horsenettle			of deep taproots.
4	Horsenettie	-		Mow repeatedly. Post-emergent herbicides are
				only effective on young plants. Avoid
4	Nutsadaa		Herbicides	cultivation, which spreads rhizomes.
4	Nutsedge	-	inei biciues	Nutsedge is shade intolerant. Limited seed are
				produced; spread is from production of nutlets
				or from cultivation. If trees are non-bearing,
				there are more herbicide options; take
				advantage of years when there is no crop.

¹Rankings have been assigned based on order and frequency of responses. Rankings are not associated with % yield loss. Items receiving the same number rating occurred with the same order and frequency and are presented in alphabetical order.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on information gathered through both the apple and peach grower surveys, pests of importance have been identified. Diseases, insects, and weeds that received rankings of 1 through 5 will serve as priority items and become the focus of future research and educational programs. Future work should focus on the development of publications, field days, and educational videos. These new resources will improve grower knowledge, resulting in improvements in pest management practices, ultimately limiting losses from these issues.

January 2024

Editor: Cheryl Kaiser, Plant Pathology Extension Support

ADDITIONAL RESOURCES

 Department of Plant Pathology Extension Publications

https://plantpathology.ca.uky.edu/extension/publications

 Department of Entomology Extension Publications for Fruit Crops

https://entomology.ca.uky.edu/entfacts/fruit

 Department of Horticulture Extension Publications for Fruit Crops

https://www.uky.edu/hort/documents-list-commercial-fruit-nut

- Plant Disease and Insect Prediction Models http://weather.uky.edu/plant disease.html
- Plant Disease and Insect Prediction Models for Mobile Devices

http://weather.uky.edu/dim.html